COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS ON POLITICAL PARTY AND CAMPAIGN FINANCING

APPENDIX No. 1

Matrix for collection of information on normative frameworks

NAME OF COUNTRY AND NATIONAL RESEARCHER United States of America H. ALEXANDER & C. WILCOX

H. ALEXANDER & C. WILCOX I. NATURE OF FINANCING REGIMENS (PUBLIC, PRIVATE AND MIXED) A. GOVERNMENT DIRECT FUNDING 1. Conditions for Presidential candidates, in general election campaigns, receive a eligibility: block grant, indexed to Consumer Price Index, based on US\$ 20 2. Limits / amounts: million -also includes vice-presidential candidate on ticket (\$74.4 million in the 2004 election). 3. Fund distribution: a. To parties: ✓ Routine operation ✓ Electoral campaign ✓ Research / Capacity building ✓ Mixed b. To candidates: ✓ Presidency Pre-nomination, presidential candidates receive matching funds of up to US\$ 250 per donor, once qualified by raising \$5,000 in each of 20 states in amounts up to \$250 —based on \$10 million, also indexed (limit is \$43.8 million in 2004). ✓ Parliament No public funding is provided for candidates for U.S. Senate or House. c. To party caucus or individual members of parliament/congress d. Other organizations: 4. Election funding: a. Internal party elections b. Presidential elections c. Parliamentary elections

d. Local municipal	
elections	
5. Time of	Party national nominating conventions receive public funding also
disbursement:	indexed based on \$4 million (\$14.9 million for 2004 conventions).
a. Before campaign	
b. During campaign	
c. After campaign	
d. Combined	All public funding is based on amounts raised by a \$3 per person individual federal income tax checkoff.
6. Criteria for	
distribution:	
a. Equitable (in equal	
parts)	
b. Proportional to the	
electoral strength	
c. Parliamentary	
representation	
d. Mixed methods:	
✓ Equitable and	
electoral strength	
✓ Electoral strength	
and parliamentary	
representation	
✓ Other	
	B. INDIRECT GOVERNMENT FUNDING
1. Objects of	
financing	
a. Transportation	
b. Publication	
(printing, mailing	
and posting)	
c. Exemptions	
d. Grants	
e. Tax benefits	
f. Loans	
g. get-out-the vote	
campaigns	
h. Political	Candidates may purchase time but broadcasters must provide
broadcasting	time at lowest unit rate offered to any favored advertiser for the
	time period.
i. Training	
j. Other	

C. NON-GOVER	NMENTAL FUNDING: CONTRIBUTIONS RESTRICTIONS
1. Contribution	
limits:	
2. Prohibitions:	
a. Individuals / Legal	Limit of \$2,000 per candidate per election (primary or general
entities	election)
b. Foreign donors	
c. Unions	
d. Associations /	Corporate & Labor + Issue PACs may contribute up to \$5,000 per
Corporations	candidate per election
e. Government	
contractors	
f. Anonymous	
g. Other	
	D. LIMITATIONS ON EXPENDITURES
1. Political parties:	
a. Amount	See chart (D-1) at end of document
2. Candidates:	
a. Presidency:	
✓ Amount	
✓ Are election	
candidates centered?	
b. Parliament:	
✓ Amount	
	TIMATED COSTS OF POLITICAL FINANCING
1. Previous elections:	
a. Presidency	See chart (E-1) at end of document
b. Parliament	
2. Cost by actors	
a. Political parties	
a. Candidates	
b. Electoral authority	
3. Funding sources:	
Amounts /	
Percentages	
a. Public financing	
b. Private financing	
✓ List principal donors	
c. Resources from	

USA

political party	
budgets	
d. Other	
4. Allocation: greater	
costs on the	
campaign	
a. Advertisement	
(television, radio,	
press, others)	
b. Staff Salaries	
c. Transportation	
d. Vote buying?	
e. Other	

II. ACCESS TO THE MEDIA					
	A. FREE POLITICAL BROADCASTING				
1. Electoral time slots:					
a. Obligatory:	None				
✓ State-run media (TV, radio and press)					
✓ Private media (TV,	Yes (See attached A & B on Political				
radio and press)	Broadcasting)				
b. Voluntary:	Yes				
✓ Private media (TV,	Yes				
radio and press)					
2. Type time slots:					
a. Unique (only free					
political					
broadcasting)					
b. Principal (paid					
political					
broadcasting does					
not exceed the					
electoral time slots)					
c. Complementary	May exceed				
(paid political					
broadcasting exceeds					
the electoral time					
slots)					
3. Time slots in non-					
electoral periods					

4. Cost of time slots	
a. Completely free	
b. Reduced fee or State	Yes
sponsored	
5. Access to time slots:	
a. Per previous election	
results:	
✓ Number of votes	
gained	
✓ Positions gained	
✓ Criteria for new	
political	
organizations	
b. Combined criteria	
c. Completely free	
6. Production cost	
(time slots)	
a. Paid by the State	
b. Non-paid	
7. Time granted:	
a. As a whole	
b. To parties and	
electoral alliances	
c. To candidates	
8. Organizations	
granting the time	
slots	
9. Organizations	
monitoring the time	
slots	
10. Sanctions	
	B. CONTRACTING OF TIME SLOTS
1. Advertising paid by parties, candidates,	Permitted to be bought at lowest unit rate
etc:	
a. In addition to paid	
time slots:	
✓ Limits	
b. Resulting from lack	
of time slots:	
✓ Limits	None
✓ Unlimited	Yes
c. Rules and fees for	

paid advertising	
d. Controller agencie	es l
e. Radio adds/Public	ity
spots: Cost per	
minute/second.	
2. Indirect	
advertising:	
a. Indirect information	on l
(positive, negative	,
neutral)	
b. Journalistic	
programs	
c. Other	
3. Debate regulation	ns: Negotiated by candidates
4. Pre-election polls	:
a. Limits	none
b. Rules guaranteeing	g
technical quality o	f
data	
5. Regulation for Ex	it Broadcasters have voluntarily agreed not to broadcast results
Polls	
6. Specific	
dispositions for	
mass media:	
a. Television	
b. Cable	
c. Press	
d. Satellite TV	
e. Internet	

III. PUBLIC DISCLOSURE				
1. Who discloses?	✓ Political parties ✓ Candidates Yes □ Donors			
	Yes			
	□ Pongos or donors specify)			
	(a Pongo is a			
	political NGO for Political Action			
	fundraising Committees			
	purposes)			
2. What is disclosed?	Receipts & disbursements			
a. Parties:				
✓ Cash Contributions	✓ Itemized In excess of ✓ Aggregated Less than \$200			
	\$200 cash			

✓ In-kind contributions (materials and equipment, including loans)	✓	Itemized A value	ll in-Kind		□ Aggregated	
✓ Expenditures		Listed by categories or itemized, e.g. media, etc. Itemized		□ In	□ Aggregated or not categorized In excess of \$100	
✓ Names of donors	√	Itemized listing Ye	es .		□ No donor names listed with contribution amounts	
✓ Addresses of donors	✓	Full address YES	□ Partial	addr		□ No address required
✓ Names of vendors	✓	Itemized listing nan	nes YES			
✓ Personal assets	✓	Required to be filed	YES		Not rec	uired to be filed
b. Candidates:						
✓ Cash Contributions		Itemized			Aggreg	gated
✓ In-kind contributions (materials and equipment, including loans)		Itemized		0	86 -8	
✓ Expenditures		Listed by categories or itemized, e.g. media, etc.			28 - 5	
✓ Names of donors		Itemized listing nan			with contribution amounts	
✓ Addresses of donors		Full address	□ Partial	addr	ess	□ No address required
✓ Names of vendors		Itemized listing nan	nes		 No names of vendors listed with expenditures amounts 	
✓ Personal assets		Required to be fille	d NO	✓	Not rec	juired to be filled
3. Do party and candidate expenditure reports record campaign and operational expenses	Ex	✓ Yes xplain:		□ No Explain:		
separately?						-

4. Are reporting requirements in this country temporary or permanent, i.e. are they only in effect during an election cycle, or yearround before and after an election?	□ Temporary during el Explain:	Explain	manent :_Every
5. What kind of monies can the party or candidate legally receive?	✓ Private funds□ Foreign company fundsNO	✓ Corporate funds PACs □ Foreign nation funds NO	PACs
6. What sources of illicit funds for parties and candidates are suspected?	☐ Illegal funds given over the limit but not declared by corporations, unions, or persons Occasionally	 Organized crime Drug trafficking funds Laundered funds 	funds or Others
7. What is the name of the body receiving the financial disclosure reports?	✓ This is a governmental body created by statute Federal Election Commission	Date This is a private body created and funded a lagovernment	te This body is
a. Name:			
b. Phone No:	/ V	[n 1 ·	
8. Are there contribution thresholds? (Donations below a certain amount don't have to be reported)	✓ Yes□ No□ If yes, what is the the threshold: \$ 200	amount of \$200 or	: Gross amounts for less

	✓ Before the elec	ction	✓ After th	ne election.
9. When are the	- How many weeks before the		- How many weeks after the	
disclosure reports	election? _10 days		election?	
due from	weeks. before		30 days	s weeks.
politicians or	- How many repo	orts are		
parties?	required? Quar	terly or		
	Monthly_ report	rts. + Pre &		
	Post-election			
	□ Fax	□ Hand	copying	□ Other. Please
10. How are the		(copyir	ng by hand)	specify.
reports transmitted	✓ Photocopy	□ Gazette	e or	
to the public?	Permitted	publish	ed in local	Periodical Reports
		newspa	ipers or	
	□ Internet	bulletir	ıs.	
11. Rate how easy				
or how difficult it is	□ High	Mediur	n	✓ Low
to access the public				Readily available
reports? (Difficulty				
of accessibility)				
12. How much	Fill in the blanks:		□ Explair	1:
time elapses				
between the receipt	□ Weeks: 48 h	ours	FEC to	make data available
and dissemination	Months		within 48	hours of receipts.
of the report by the	□ Years			
election				
commission?				
13. Quality of				
report criteria:				
a. Are reports itemized				
or aggregate figures				
reported?				
✓ Cash contributions	□ Itemized		✓ Aggreg	gated
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind				
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions	☐ Itemized ✓ Itemized		✓ Aggreg	
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and				
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and equipment, including				
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and equipment, including loans)	✓ Itemized		□ Aggreg	gated
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and equipment, including	✓ Itemized □ Listed by	categories or	□ Aggreg	
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and equipment, including loans)	✓ Itemized	•	□ Aggreg	gated
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and equipment, including loans) ✓ Expenditures	✓ Itemized □ Listed by itemized, e.g. 1	media, etc	□ Aggreg	gated gated or not categorized
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and equipment, including loans)	✓ Itemized □ Listed by	media, etc	□ Aggreg □ Aggreg □ No nan	gated gated or not categorized nes of donors listed
✓ Cash contributions ✓ In-Kind Contributions (materials and equipment, including loans) ✓ Expenditures	✓ Itemized □ Listed by itemized, e.g. 1	media, etc	□ Aggreg □ Aggreg □ No nan	gated gated or not categorized

	Democracy- International IDEA	USA
b. Names of donors	✓ Full names of donors required? YES Full address require	mailing s of donor d? YES Is donor's name listed on the report by specific amounts of money contributed? YES
c. Names of vendors	✓ Full names of vendors required? YES Full address require	YES amounts of money paid? YES
d. Categories of expenses	✓ Are vendor products or services categorized on the disclosure report? (e.g. media expense, transportation, labor, meals, etc.?) YES	✓ Are vendor purchases listed in aggregate or itemized on expenditure reports? Itemized
14. Quality of	, ,	
enforcement		
criteria:		
	(C 1 , 1 , 1 , ; ;	
a. What is the name of the body that enforces the disclosure reporting laws?	 ✓ Same as electoral commission or body that receives the disclosure reports? □ Different body than the one receiving the disclosure reports? Name of body. □ Is the enforcement body a tribunal or special court? 	Explain: Federal Election Commission
✓ What are the powers	□ Passive:	✓ Active:
of the enforcement	- Receive the report with little	- Does it audit reports and
body?	investigation	conducts investigations?Yes,
3		has subpoena power
		- Have sanctions and fines
		offenders?
		- Criminal penalties also
		possible?
✓ Strength and	□ Strengths:	□ Weaknesses:
weakness of the		
enforcement bodies		
✓ What's the reputation	□ Strict	□ Politically timid and bends
of the enforcement	□ Not Strict	with the power
body? Check all	□ Politically bold and doesn't	□ Respected YES
apply.	play favorites YES	□ Not too respected
15. Reality check /		
actual practices		

criteria:			
a. Looking over the	Distant	□ Reasonable	✓ Excellent degree
above answers, how	relationship	degree of fit	of fit between
would you compare	between the laws	between books	laws and practices
the disclosure laws	on the books and	and practices.	_
on the books in this	practice of		
nation with the	disclosure		
actual practice of			
disclosure?			

b. Using the Disclosure Ranking in Column 8 of the Latin America Disclosure Table listed below (produced by USAID for the OAS countries) how do your findings correspond with the table?

IV. ENFORCEMENT				
A. CONTROLLER AGENCIES				
1. Nature of	Bipartisan, independent regulatory commission Administer presidential public financing			
regulatory agencies:	presidential public imancing			
a. Electoral				
management bodies				
b. Judges with electoral				
jurisdiction				
c. Auditing bodies	Has audit authority			
d. Combined				
2. Election /	Federal election Commission			
Composition of				
controller agencies:				
3. Functions /	Disclosure, enforcement, administration			
Responsibilities:				
4. Autonomies /	Independent regulatory commission			
Dependencies:				
5. Institutional				
capacity: a. Financial resources	Annual Congressional appropriations			
	Annual Congressional appropriations			
c. Human resources	On internet			
d. Technical capacity				
6. Internal party controlling	Bipartisan—3 democrats or 3 Republicans; Requires 4 votes for action			
mechanisms:	activii			
mechanisms.				

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,				
	B. SANCTION REGIMENS				
1. Mechanisms:					
a. Permanent and	YES				
systematic oversight					
b. Complaint-based	YES				
system					
c. Random auditing	YES				
d. Alternative	YES				
mechanisms					
(compliance					
agreements, etc.)					
e. Combined	YES				
2. Sanction regimens:	YES				
a. Financial penalties:	YES				
✓ Political parties	YES				
✓ Candidates	YES				
✓ Donors	YES				
b. Legal sanctions:					
✓ Political parties	Civil cases				
✓ Candidates					
✓ Donors					
c. Administrative					
sanctions:					
d. Other sanctions:	Refers criminal cases to justice department				
3. Provide examples	Mostly fine, very few referrals				
of sanctions already					
applied:					
C. CULTURE OF COMPLIANCE AND PUBLIC OVERSIGHT					
a. Incentives for	Education				
voluntary					
compliance:					
✓ Training of	Holds seminars for finance operatives				
campaign workers					
✓ Technical assistance	YES				
✓ Provision of material	Provides software for compliance				
support	NO				
✓ Subsidies for	NO				
auditing services ✓ Public education	VEC				
	YES VES				
b. Public oversight of	YES				
resources:					

✓ Names of	Can bring suits or testify at public hearings		
participating civil			
society organizations			
✓ Initiatives of citizens	Can bring suits		
✓ Other			
c. Cases of corruption? If yes, provide examples:	Mostly fines		

V.	INFORMATION ABOUT PARLIAMENTS
1. Total cost to Parliament (Figures	\$3.5 billion this fiscal year
from general	
national budget and	
Parliament budget)	
2. Who is the parliamentary budget administrator?	Secretary of the Senate \$ 663.4 million; Chief Administrative Office of the House \$ 960 million (see attached V)
3. Cost of each	
Member of	
Parliament.	
a. Gross cost (divide total cost by number	
of Members of	
Parliament)	
b. Net Cost (salaries of	\$154,700 salaries of both Senate & House
Members of	
Parliament)	
4. Parliamentary	
services (costs of	
support services, advisors, secretaries,	
etc.)	
5. Allocation of	Library of Congress
resources: caucus vs.	General Accounting Office
individual.	Congressional Research service
	c. 1.9 billion
6. Access to other	
resources for	
parliamentary needs (special funds,	
resources from	
political party	
budgets, etc.)	

VI. LIST OF REGULATIONS ON FINANCING

- ✓ Code of Federal Regulations enclosed 11CFR
 - VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY LIST RELATED TO POLITICAL FINANCING
- ✓ Attached

VIII. OTHER ANNEXES

(D-1) Limitations on Expenditures for Candidates accepting public financing—No limits if candidate opts out--

	2004	2000	<u>1996</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1988</u>	<u>1984</u>	<u>1980</u>
Primary	\$36,480,000						\$14,720,000.0
(+ 20%)	\$43,776,000	\$33,780,000	\$30,910,000.00	\$27,620,000.00	\$23,050,000.00	\$20,200,000.00	0
		\$40,536,000	\$37,092,000.00	\$33,144,000.00	\$27,660,000.00	\$24,240,000.00	\$17,664,000.0
							0
Maximum entitlement	\$18,600,000	\$16,890,000	\$15,455,000.00	\$13,810,000.00	\$11,525,000.00	\$10,100,000.00	\$7,360,000.00
Convention	\$14,880,000	\$13,512,000	\$12,364,000.00	\$11,048,000.00	\$9,220,000.00	\$8,080,000.00	\$4,416,000.00
General	\$74,400,000	\$67,560,000	\$61,820,000.00	\$55,240,000.00	\$46,100,000.00	\$40,400,000.00	\$29,440,000.0 0
Party 441a(d)	\$15,721,000	\$13,680,292	\$11,994,007.30	\$10,331,702.92	\$8,291,453.80	\$6,924,802.40	\$4,637,653.76

Spending limits per statute:

Primary	\$10,000 + COLA = 'base' spending limit. Campaigns are also allowed to exempt 20% of fundraising costs from overall limit, which, in effect, raises their total spending limit by 20%. Legal accounting costs incurred to comply with the law are limited to 15% of the 'base' spending limit during the period the campaign is active. Once the campaign is over, all salary and overhead expenses may also be considered exem[pt to??] compliance.
Convention	\$4 million + COLA. Originally, the limit was \$2 million + COLA. The base was raised to \$3 million + COLA for the 1980 conventions; then to \$4 million + COLA for the 1984 conventions.
General	\$20,000,000 + COLA. Legal and accounting costs incurred to comply with the law are exempt from the limit and may be defrayed from private monies raised in separate compliance funds (subject to contribution limitations and prohibitions).
Party 441a(d)	\$.02 x VAP of U.S. + COLA. Commonly referred to as the coordinated party spending limit, this is the amount that the national party may spend on behalf of its nominee. The party may work in conjunction with the campaign, but the money is raised, spent, and reported by the national party committee. This limit only applies to the general election.

(NOTE: COLA is the cost-of-living adjustment [increase] over the base year of 1974.)

(E-1) Estimated Costs

PROPOSED PRESENTATION OF CAMPAIGN COSTS

Country	Year(s)	System	Costs in U.S. \$ Millions ^a	Votes in Millions ^b	Index
United States	1999-2000 election cycle	Presidential	607	105.4	13.7
		Congressional	1,006	99.5	18.1
		Party-federal	693	Some additional soft money & presidential issue ads were broadcast, part of the soft money & issues ads totals	
		Soft money	498c		
		Issue ads	509		

^a Candice J. Nelson, "Spending in the 2000 Elections," in David B. Magleby (ed.), *Financing the 2000 Elections* (Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2002), Table 2-1, p. 24, based on Federal Election Commission compilations.

^b Federal Elections 2000: Election Results for the U.S. President, the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House of Representatives, Federal Election Commission, Washington, D.C., June 2001, pp. 4-5.

^c Total \$1,700 counting arbitrarily half to Presidential and half to Congressional equals \$850 each.

II. A & B

CAMPAIGN BROADCASTING

Country	Allocation of Free Time	Costs of Paid Broadcasting
United States 2000 Elections	4 debate Hours presidential, general election, and two debate hours nationally televised for pre-nomination candidates, free time	\$1,000,000,000 ^a at all levels, not just Presidential

V.

Information about the FEC, the regulatory agency, more pertinent than information about Congress. In 2003 fiscal year, the FEC has a budget of \$49 million. Funding is appropriated by the Congress each year. There are currently 373 FEC employees: lawyers, accountants, administrators, and computer specialists. The Staff director is responsible for carrying out FEC actions, with the guidance and sanctions decided by six FEC Commissioners (three Democrats and three Republicans).

^a Includes hard and soft money, primary and general election, federal and non-federal, mostly at reduced rate.